

INFRINGEMENT OF TRADEMARKS

Louis Harms

BADGE OF ORIGIN



5/6/2019



GUARANTEE OF ORIGIN

- A business marks its wares or services as an indication of origin.
- Allows consumers to know who stands behind those goods or services.
- Provide a shortcut to consumers.
- Where the trade-marks of different businesses are similar, a consumer may be unable to discern which company stands behind the wares or services.
- Confusion between trade-marks impairs the objective of providing consumers with a reliable indication of the source of goods or services.

5/6/2019

5/6/2019



THE ESSENCE OF INFRINGEMENT: TO CAUSE CONFUSION

- Object of trade mark law is to prevent misleading commercial 'speech'.
- Trademark infringement consists essentially in causing confusion in relation to origin.
- It destroys or dilutes the badge of origin.
- It confuses and misleads the public.
- Through "trademark use" by the defendant.

5







STAGED APPROACH

- Assess systematically:
- (a) similarity of marks;

5/6/2019

5/6/2010

- (b) similarity (or identity) of goods or services; and
- (c) likelihood of confusion arising from the two similarities.
- Assess (a) and (b) individually before (c), which is assessed in the round.

SIMILARITY OF THE MARKS





5/6/2019

Complex trademarks Added matter

Identify the defendant's indication of origin:

A complex trade mark is not similar to another trade mark which is identical or similar to one of the components of the complex mark, unless that component forms the dominant element within the overall impression created by the complex mark.





POLO



15



5/6/2019

5/6/2019

Boyal County of Berkshire POLO CLUB



SIMILARITY OF THE GOODS/SERVICES



Infringement relates to tm use on goods or services

- Classification: Nice classification
- Identical goods/services (primary)
- Similar goods/services (secondary)
- Unrelated goods/services (dilution)



5/6/2019



14

LIKELIHOOD OF CONFUSION





18

6 May 2019

Contraction of the second second

WATERFORD ESTATE STELLENBOSCH

-

Likelihood of confusion





19

21

23

Puma for gas





Gemma v Puma

Machines for processing of wood or aluminium, Machines for treatment of PVC)



5/6/2010

5/6/2019





Clothing, boots, shoes and

Confusion can only arise if the use is trademark use

- Trademarks do not give copyright protection.
- There can only be trade mark infringement if the mark, as it is used by the 'infringer', designates the undertaking from which the 'infringer's' goods or services originate.





Distinguish trademark infringement and unlawful competition





24





Compare the trademarks, and not the get-up



Confusion



26

28

- MacTea, MacChocolate and MacNoodles Singapore. No confusion.
- McCurry Malaysia. No

5/6/2019

5/6/2010

- McBagel, McPretzel and McSalad USA. Yes
- 'McMint, McVeg Australia. No confusion.
- McSALAD and McFRESH Australia. Yes.



Likelihood of confusion: no rules

FUTURE ENTERPRISES vs. MCDONALD'S

- "The smorgasbord of trademark cases demonstrates the innumerable (and subjectively perceived) similarities and differences that can be conjured up and persuasively articulated by an imaginative and inventive legal mind.
- Trademark infringement is 'more a matter of feel than science'.

Global assessment

Global appreciation of

5/6/2019

- the visual, aural or conceptual similarity
- based on the overall impression,
- of the marks as a whole
- · comparing the overall impressions created by each of them
- · bearing in mind, in particular, their
 - distinctive and
 - dominant components.









Detailed analysis not permitted

- Move from the courtroom to the market place.
- Look at the marks as they will be seen, in fair and normal commercial use.
- By the hypothetical consumers.
- Do not postulate a consumer of 'phenomenal ignorance or extraordinary intelligence'.
- Consider a person of average intelligence and proper eyesight, buying with ordinary caution.



List of factors

- Factors are not a mechanical checklist, and the proper weight given to each will vary from case to case.
- For a recent list: Specsavers International Healthcare Ltd v Asda Stores Ltd [2012] EWCA Civ 24 par 51-52



33

"Be a real spec saver at Asda"

5/6/2010



Likelihood of association not enough





5/6/2019

5/6/2019

32

Pear Technologies v EUIPO - Apple (PEAR) (EU trade mark - Judgment)





37

39

41

Adidas





Adidas v Pacbrands (Australia) 2013

Trademark

CTTTT'S

5/6/2019



- 1. Infringing
- 2. Non-infringing



5/6/2019

Adidas v Pepkor (SA) 2013: Infringing





5/6/2019

5/6/2019

5/6/2019

42

GUCCI vs GUESS



*Monster Energy Company v Glamco Co, Ltd

Attribus/Wewsingapprelawatch.sg/Portals/0/[2018]%20SGHC%20238.pdf)>* [2018] SGHC 238, the High Court was faced with the primary question of whether Glamco's trade mark "SWEET MONSTER" in Class 30 was registrable in Singapore even though Monster Energy had earlier trade mark registrations for the word "MONSTER" (and variations thereof) in several classes in



43

5/6/2019